

1. LECTURES 1 AND 2

1.1. **Motivation 1.** CAD part and assembly design,
Teaching Geometry and automated theorem proving,
Robotics,
Graphics Animation

References for CAD applications. www.solidworks.com
www.dcubed.com
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam - FRONTIER opensource software

Formalization.

- What are geometric constraint satisfaction problems?
- Roughly what are the questions:
 - Realizability - Genericity;
 - Generic classification: rigidity (wellconstrainedness)/ underconstrainedness/ overconstrainedness;
 - Finer classification of generic realization space structure;
 - Finding generic realizations;
 - Finer classification of nongeneric realization space structure;

My surveys and Andrew's thesis.

www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/drone.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/dimacs.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/andrew-thesis.pdf

- Overall framework for GCS questions:
 - What is the input constraint system: Complete or partial graph/metric space (usually) or a matroid, coxeter matroid?
www.math.umn.edu/~reiner/Papers/rigidity.ps
 - Realization in: Euclidean, Projective, L_p , Other metric space?
 - Realization in: fixed or variable (min possible) dimension
 - Exact or approximate realization (allow some distortion)
 - Input is General or Special (regular, symmetric)
 - Algorithmic or Characterization(construction/existence), or -
Extremal/negative constructions - non-realizability, non-embeddability etc.
 - For fixed dimension and exact realization: generic or non-generic
 - Defining generic
 - When are combinatorial characterizations possible? What does

"combinatorial" mean?

-Inverse problem: Given desired realizations, find "easiest" input - partial constraint system

Grad class (first few) lecture notes taken by students.

www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec1.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec2.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec3.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec4.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec5.pdf
www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/COURSES/GC/lec6.pdf

1.2. Easy-to-state formal Questions.

- (1) optimal decomposition/ construction plan
 -given construction method.
 Example: sequential ruler and compass constructibility

 -allowing (varying level of) choice of construction method
 Example: existence of size 3 Euclidean DR-plans
 Example: find optimum DR-plan
- (2) combinatorial detection of generic rigidity, unique realizability, algebraic dependence (big question: what can be decided combinatorially?, what does combinatorial mean?)
 Example: For sequential rcc: rigidity, dependence, unique realizability
 Example: 2D sequential ruler-and-compass: "combinatorial" characterization of realization existence
 Example: general 2D rigidity using dofs? 3D rigidity and bananas?
 Example: angle constraint system (detecting dependences)
- (3) Same as above for non-generic (big question: what can be decided combinatorially?, what does combinatorial mean?)
 Example: all distances equal, sequential rcc: for which graphs is this generic? in general, same questions as above
 Example: point-line incidence structures - detecting dependence (Pappus)
- (4) Describing, sampling - points and paths in realization space
 Collision avoiding paths from one realization to another (big question: what can be decided combinatorially?)
 Example: For which 1 dof graphs is there some completion edge

for which realization space is an interval

Example: Polygon straightening without collisions

- (5) Inverse problem: given desired realizations, designing "easy or low complexity" constraint system

1.3. Mathematical directions, conjectures, known results.

Combinatorics: graphs, matroids and oriented matroids.

- (i) Combinatorial classification of generic realization space structure: 2D Quadratic solvability

What is known: Characterization of sequential ruler and compass constructibility

What is known: Planar case of quadratic solvability

<http://www.maths.lth.se/matstat/ecmi/modw/reportgroup4/reportgroup4.pdf>

- (ii) Combinatorial classification of generic realization space complexity:

- Minimum dense subgraph problem

what is known: NP-completeness, Frontier Vertex Algorithm

<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/drtwo.pdf>

- *what is known:* optimal algebraic complexity

<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/jsc-skeleton.pdf>

- (iii) Combinatorial characterization of rigidity

what is known:

Laman's theorem, Henneberg construction, Rigidity matrix independence; Module rigidity; Seam graphs.

<http://www.math.cornell.edu/~connelly>

<http://www.math.cornell.edu/~connelly/BasicI.BasicII.pdf>

<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/overlap-new.pdf>

<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/module.pdf>

http://biophysics.asu.edu/banff_files/jackson/banffprobs.pdf

- (iv) Global rigidity, Unique realizability

what is known: Hendrickson-Jackson-Jordan's theorem

<http://www.math.cornell.edu/~connelly/global-6.pdf>

<http://www.cs.elte.hu/egres/tr/egres-02-12.pdf>

Algebraic geometry, varieties, semi-algebraic sets, invariant theory.

- (i) "Roadmapping" varieties (Cayley-Menger, Grassmanian) corresponding to classes of geometric constraint systems

(finding an efficient projection/representation, then characterizing connected components, Betti numbers etc.)

Why is this important: solution picking (chirality, variety with grassmanian stratification), sampling; singularities; motion

what is known: Heping's theorem, 2-trees, 2-realizability

<http://www.math.cornell.edu/>

what is known: Cayley-Menger conditions and properties (low-rank etc) of distance matrices

<http://www.maths.lth.se/matstat/ecmi/modw/reportgroup4/reportgroup4.pdf>

web.mit.edu/tfhavel/www/Public/dg-review.ps.gz

and Cayley-Menger varieties

http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/0207/02071110.pdf

what is known: Grassmanians, When panel and hinge structures collapse

<http://maven.smith.edu/~streinu/Papers/megaProc.pdf>

what is known: Carpenter's rule

<http://www.ams.org/featurecolumn/archive/links1.html>

<http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/connelly01straightening.html>

<http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/streinu00combinatorial.html>

- (ii) Is "generic" classification of all (isolated) solutions of a well-constrained system any easier than fully roadmapping the big variety corresponding to the given set of constraints with variable parameters?

what is known: www.cise.ufl.edu/~sitharam/jsc-skeleton.pdf

- (iii) Finding generators for a "hidden" ring of polynomial invariants (finding corresponding group of transformations)

Why is this important: choosing optimal solution method (generalizing DR-plan). Very little is known

Geometric groups, representations and algebras.

- (i) "Roadmapping" a variety corresponding to a classes of geometric constraint systems (analyzing local(tangentspace) group structure)

Why is this important: completely characterizing "motions," points and paths used a lot in robotics, elsewhere. Look at the first two books by Selig and Bayro-Corrochano et al in

<http://books.google.com/books?ie=UTF-8&q=geometric++groups++and++robotics&btnG=Search+all+books>

- (ii) Detecting incidence geometry dependences using Grassman-Cayley algebra

<http://www.danroozemond.nl/site/archief/ProvingCinderella.pdf>

<http://www.math.ufl.edu/~white/antw2.ps>

<http://www.math.ufl.edu/~white/hbz.ps>

<http://www.math.ufl.edu/~white/tutorfig.ps>

Efficient algorithms (algebraic-numeric) for solution of systems of polynomial equations and inequalities. Vast area