## Homework \#1 Solutions

1.5. The scenario is depicted in the figure below.


In the figure, $\hat{z}$ is not shown. The basic idea is that a blur circle is formed as the screen is moved from $z^{\prime}$ to $\hat{z}^{\prime}$. From the figure, we see that we can use similar triangles to assert that

$$
\frac{\Delta / 2}{\left|\hat{z}^{\prime}-z\right|}=\frac{d / 2}{z^{\prime}}
$$

which gives us

$$
\Delta=\left|\hat{z}^{\prime}-z\right| \frac{d}{z^{\prime}}
$$

which is the desired result.
For the second part of the problem, assume that $z_{1}$ is the nearest point for which $z_{1}>z$ and for which the blurred image occurs at $z_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\epsilon=d \frac{z_{1}^{\prime}-z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}}
$$

Similarly, let $z_{2}$ be the farthest point for which $z>z_{2}$ and for which the blurred image occurs at $z_{2}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\epsilon=d \frac{z^{\prime}-z_{2}^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}}
$$

These quantities are in terms of $z^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}$ and can be rewritten using the thin lens equation

$$
\frac{1}{z^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{z}=\frac{1}{f}, \frac{1}{z_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{z_{1}}=\frac{1}{f}, \text { and } \frac{1}{z_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{z_{2}}=\frac{1}{f} .
$$

The corresponding image plane quantities are

$$
z^{\prime}=\frac{z f}{z+f}, z_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{z_{1} f}{z_{1}+f}, \text { and } z_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{z_{2} f}{z_{2}+f}
$$

Substituting this in the equations for $\epsilon$ above and simplifying, we get

$$
z_{1}-z+z-z_{2}=z_{1}-z_{2}=2 \epsilon f z(z+f) \frac{d}{f^{2} d^{2}-\epsilon^{2} z^{2}}
$$

2.3 A rigid transformation of a point ${ }^{A} P$ can be written as

$$
\binom{{ }^{B} P}{1}={ }_{A}^{B} T\binom{{ }^{A} P}{1}
$$

where

$$
{ }_{A}^{B} T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }_{A}^{B} R & { }^{B} O_{A} \\
0^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

For the set of rigid transformations to form a group, we require that a) the matrix product of two rigid transformations to be a rigid transformation matrix, b) the matrix product to be associative, c) there is a unit element and that d) every rigid transformation matrix has an inverse. These properties are all satisfied by ${ }_{A}^{B} T$. First

$$
T_{1} T_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1} & t_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{2} & t_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1} R_{2} & R_{1} t_{2}+t_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{3} & t_{3} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $R_{3} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{1} R_{2}$ and $t_{3} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}$. It is trivial to check that $T_{1}\left(T_{2} T_{3}\right)=\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) T_{3}$. The identity matrix $I$ acts as an identity element since $T I=I T=T$. Finally, for every $T$, there exists an inverse matrix $T^{-1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}R^{T} & -R^{T} t \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ such that $T T^{-1}=T^{-1} T=I$. All four properties are therefore verified.
2.7 We have to show that a rigid transformation preserves distances and angles. Assume that we have two points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ which undergo a rigid transformation. The squared distance between the points before transformation is $\left\|P_{1}-P_{2}\right\|^{2}=P_{1}^{T} P_{1}+P_{2}^{T} P_{2}-2 P_{1}^{T} P_{2}$. After the transformation, the squared distance is
$\left\|R P_{1}+t-R P_{2}-t\right\|^{2}=\left\|R P_{1}-R P_{2}\right\|^{2}=P_{1}^{T} R^{T} R P_{1}+P_{2}^{T} R^{T} R P_{2}-2 P_{1}^{T} R^{T} R P_{2}=P_{1}^{T} P_{1}+P_{2}^{T} P_{2}-2 P_{1}^{T} P_{2}$.
Similarly, the transformation preserves angles. Assume that we have two vectors $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. The inner product between the vectors is $V_{1}^{T} V_{2}$ before the transformation. After the transformation, it is $\left(R V_{1}\right)^{T}\left(R V_{2}\right)=$ $V_{1}^{T} R^{T} R V_{2}=V_{1}^{T} V_{2}$. Since the inner product remains the same and since distances are preserved, we know that angles are preserved as well.
2.8 The translation factor is independent of the skew. So this will be ignored. Examine the figure below.


Due to the skew factor, if the old $x$ coordinate is $O A$, the new $x$ coordinate is $O C$ and if the old $y$ coordinate is $O B$, the new $y$ coordinate is $O D$. Since $C A=A P \cot (\theta)$ and $O D=\frac{A P}{\sin (\theta)}$, and given that $O A=\alpha \frac{x}{z}$ and $O B=\beta \frac{y}{z}$, we have

$$
O C=\alpha \frac{x}{z}-\beta \cot (\theta) \frac{y}{z}, \text { and } O D=\beta \frac{1}{\sin (\theta)} \frac{y}{z} .
$$

2.15 a) Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ and $B=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $L=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1} \\ a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1} \\ a_{1}-b_{1} \\ a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2} \\ a_{2}-b_{2} \\ a_{3}-b_{3}\end{array}\right)$. Since $A B=\left(\begin{array}{c}b_{1}-a_{1} \\ b_{2}-a_{2} \\ b_{3}-a_{3}\end{array}\right)$,
we have $A B=-\left(L_{3}, L_{5}, L_{6}\right)^{T} . \quad O A \times O B=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2} \\ a_{3} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{3} \\ a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\end{array}\right)$. From this, we see that $O A \times O B=$ $\left(L_{4},-L_{2}, L_{1}\right)^{T}$. Finally, by trivial algebraic manipulation, we can show that $L_{1} L_{6}-L_{2} L_{5}+L_{3} L_{4}=0$.
b) Since the points $A$ and $B$ are only allowed to drift along the line $L$ we have that a new $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=$ $-\left(s L_{3}, s L_{5}, s L_{6}\right)^{T}$ and that a new $O A^{\prime} \times O B^{\prime}=\left(s L_{4},-s L_{2}, s L_{1}\right)^{T}$. Consequently, the new $L^{\prime}=s L$.
c) Just substitute using the Plucker formula.
d) Again, just follow the hint and show that $\tilde{M} L \cdot a=0$ and $\tilde{M} L \cdot b=0$.
e) We know that $A, B$ and $P$ lie on $L$. Consequently, the three points and the origin $O$ lie in the same plane. From this, we know that $(O A \times O B) \cdot O P=0$ and that $(O A \times O B)=O P \times A B$. These two conditions from a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for $P$ to lie on the line joining $A$ and $B$. Use the four conditions that you obtain from the above and plug in. To see that these conditions are necessary and sufficient, note that $(O A \times O B) \cdot O P=0$ is satisfied by any four points $A, B, O$ and $P$ that lie in a plane and this can happen without $P$ being collinear with $A$ and $B$. Similarly, the condition $(O A \times O B)=O P \times A B$ can be satisfied by any four points $A, B, O$ and $P$ that do not necessarily lie in the same plane. However, when one enforces the constraint that $A, B, O$ and $P$ lie in the same plane, then the constraint $(O A \times O B)=O P \times A B$ can only be satisfied by three collinear points $A, B$ and $P$.
f) If $A B$ lies in plane $\Pi$,then $(O A \times O B) \times \mathbf{n}=d A B$ where $\mathbf{n}=(a, b, c)^{T} / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}}$ is the normal to the plane. Also, $A B \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$. These are necessary and sufficient conditions for $A B$ to lie in a plane given by
a normal $\mathbf{n}$ and a signed distance $d$ from the origin. Use the four conditions and plug in. To see that these conditions are necessary and sufficient, note that $A B \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$ is valid for any line lying in the plane and also for any line that does not intersect the plane. The condition $(O A \times O B) \times \mathbf{n}=d A B$ can be satisfied by some three points $A, B$ and $O$ and a unit vector n but does not guarantee that $\mathbf{n}$ is perpendicular to $A B$. When both constraints are enforced, the sole possibility is that $A B$ lies in the plane $\Pi$.

